

From: [John Hebert](#)
To: [Jennifer Gaines](#)
Subject: Fw: Re: Meeting with Reckitt Benckiser
Date: 11/07/2009 12:35 AM
Attachments: [d-CON Bait Station X application 10-16-09.pdf](#)
[EPA applicationd-CON Bait Station IX dated 10-16-09.pdf](#)

fyi and to remind you that bill is supposed to talk to you about this review of the child test for this bait station. this was discussed at the meeting you missed (out sick). bill told them to submit a sample station.

john

-----Forwarded by John Hebert/DC/USEPA/US on 11/07/2009 12:33AM -----

To: "Heather Bjornson" <HBjornson@TSGUSA.COM>
From: John Hebert/DC/USEPA/US
Date: 11/07/2009 12:32AM
Subject: Re: Meeting with Reckitt Benckiser

Heather - sorry that I didn't get back to you earlier. We are not requiring stations to be submitted. We can, however request that you submit a sample station if there are any inconsistencies, etc in the data/study. If I remember correctly, that's not the case with Reckitt's study Bill is reviewing. If they are not comfortable submitting a sample station, then they don't have to. Let me know if Reckitt gets another request to submit a sample station.

John

-----"Heather Bjornson" <HBjornson@TSGUSA.COM> wrote: -----

To: John Hebert/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
From: "Heather Bjornson" <HBjornson@TSGUSA.COM>
Date: 10/29/2009 09:50AM
Subject: Meeting with Reckitt Benckiser

John-

Thank you for meeting with Reckitt and myself on Monday. I have attached the electronic versions of the two applications that are so critical for Reckitt that rely on the data that is currently under review.

Also, at lunch with Reckitt on Monday, they have some concerns with sending the bait station to Dan and Bill. Because of past experiences, they are nervous that they will look for things to concern them and it could open a huge can of worms. Any suggestions?

Regards,

Heather R. Bjornson

Technology Sciences Group, Inc.

1150 18th Street NW., Ste. 1000

Washington DC 20036

Tel.: 202-828-8945

Fax: 202-872-0745